

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,011	04/16/2007	Hugh J. O'Donnell	60469-127 PUS1; OT-5357	1609
64779 CARLSON GA	7590 10/01/2019 ASKEY & OLDS	0	EXAM	HNER
400 W MAPLI			HURLEY, SHAUN R	
BIRMINGHAI	M, MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/582.011 O'DONNELL, HUGH J. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Shaun R. Hurley 3765 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

after - If NC - Failu Any	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C, § 133), reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any deplanet term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 June 2010</u> .
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	$Replacement \ drawing \ sheet (s) \ including \ the \ correction \ is \ required \ if \ the \ drawing (s) \ is \ objected \ to. \ See \ 37 \ CFR \ 1.121 (d).$
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

3.	Copies of the certified copie	es of the priority documents ha	ave been received in	n this National Stage
	application from the Interna	itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	
* See the	attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the certified co	nnies not received	

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Arplication Other:
5)

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Application/Control Number: 10/582,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 20 April 2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, nowhere in the originally filed application is there a method taught of brazing in combination with twisting or cutting, as currently claimed. These limitations are all taught as different, separate embodiments in the specification and originally filed claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by European Document (1384809).

The European Document teaches a method of making a tension member for use in an elevator comprising arranging a plurality of wires into at least one strand, arranging a plurality of the strands into at least one cord, applying a jacket, determining at least one broken wire while forming, and manipulating the broken wire by securing the broken wire end to a portion of at least one adjacent unbroken wire by adhesive (Abstract; paragraph 15; Figure 2b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Document (1384809).

The European Document essentially teaches the invention as detailed above, including the process of attaching the broken end of a wire to an unbroken neighboring wire by several means, including adhesive such as glue, and soldering, but fails to specifically teach the myriad of other methods by which one of ordinary skill in the art could attach two metal wires. It would have been obvious, however, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized brazing or welding so as to permanently and securely attach the two wires in a known manner, achieving the same desired end result. The ordinarily skilled artisan would

Application/Control Number: 10/582,011 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

have known about brazing and welding as comparable attaching means, and understood to use them to fix the broken ends of the European Document.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Black (3934397) teaches what is known in the art.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:00 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaun R Hurley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

SRH 29 September 2010

/Shaun R Hurley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765